

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN  
DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JAMAAL R. GRIFFIN

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO: ~~22-1795~~

2:22-CV-01795-PLD

UPMC-PRESBYTERIAN

UPMC-POLICE SECURITY,

LT. GLENN BRUNELLE

FILED

FEB 24 2023

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
WEST. DIST. OF PENNSYLVANIAMOTION  
AMENDED CLAIM

1.) Plaintiff Points out the Claim Negligent Tort With the UPMC-Presbyterian, Relevant HISTORY show's Plaintiff had a level 2 Trauma, that was upgraded to a level 1 Trauma, facial fracture, that Altered level of consciousness, THE plaintiff had to be Intubated, from the different medications the UPMC-Presbyterian Hospital gave him. They also failed call the UPMC-Police Security to assist them, As the plaintiff was having altered systems.

2.) Plaintiff Claim for the UPMC-Police Security the stolen Jacket, The point in them not knowing the medical issues, the plaintiff, was having issues that was caused by the UPMC-Presbyterian Hospital's treatment. Exhibits... of records showing there was Blood testing and "NO" Opiates was in my system But the Sedatives the UPMC-Presbyterian Hospital gave

3) Plaintiff argues that the Allegheny County District Attorney Klye Fitzpatrick, requested the plaintiff to take a Plea argument of two years Probation, Because ~~he~~ the UPMC Police Security Service, had know Probable Cause to stop the Plaintiff at the UPMC-Presbyterian Hospital, making the conviction legal, with the abuse of authority of Lt. Glenn Brunelle, requesting the Swissvale P.D. file a Bench Warrant in the Plaintiff name. On the Date of 12/9/2020 to coincide with the Date the Criminal Complaint was filed on the Date of 12/10/2020, Docket entry MJ-05208-0000323-2020.

4) Plaintiff argues that Lt. Glenn Brunelle falsely reported that the Swissvale P.D. was at the UPMC-Presbyterian Hospital then left, which is fraud towards the court, No arrest warrant was issued, But a Bench warrant for 05208-BW-0002281-2020 A Bench Warrant without a hearing Date or arrest warrant By Magisterial District Judge Thomas P. Caulfield, from Forest Hills Plaza, Suit 100 21 Yost Blvd. Pittsburgh, PA 15221. Exhibit(E)

5) Plaintiff shows he was arrested on the Date of 12/9/2020 and sent to SCI-Greene, the illegal arrest violated his Due Process right, and was malicious, Under the 5<sup>th</sup> Amendment And 6<sup>th</sup> Amend. Protected by the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment.

6.) Plaintiff shows with records of facts, That the UPMC-Police Security Service committed Fraud, towards Common Pleas Courts.

7.) Plaintiff pointed this out, to Public Defender MS. Bryan-Henderson, from the Allegheny County Public Defenders Office. Exhibit (L)

8.) Plaintiff shows the records and medical reports, shows the plaintiff had surgery, on his face, And was giving medications, That the UPMC-Presbyterian, in there own reports, Was Questioning if they had over sedate the Plaintiff.

9.) Plaintiff argues that the UPMC-Police Security Service Delayed, the arrest against the Plaintiff, to have, time for the Swissvale P.D. to file a Bench Warrant.

In the Date 12/10/2020, And when the UPMC-Police Security Service, done this, and arrested me without, Probable Cause, they violated, Plaintiff 4<sup>th</sup> Amendment. 5<sup>th</sup> Amen  
3<sup>th</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup>

10.) Plaintiff @ Request this Honorable to all parties in this case, to make a Declaration Statement, That is 1st ① an intentional fraud, ② two a officer of the court; ③ Which is directed at the court itself; ④ In fact deceives the court.

- 11.) Plaintiff agrees for permission to fix the complaint by filing this Motion to Amend, *Shorne v. City of New York*, 579 F.3d 176 (2d Cir. 2009) The Law Library is restricted here at SCI-Fayette once a week.
- 12.) Plaintiff can show Fraud upon the UPMLC-Police Security Service, UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEAL FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT 424 F.3d 384; 2005 U.S. App. LEXIS 20300; 68 Fed. R. Evid. Serv. (Callaghan) 386; 33 Media L. Rep. 2313 No. 04-4276 July 15, 2005, Argued September 22, 2005, filed.
- 13.) Plaintiff point out under Civ. P. 60(b), fabrication of evidence by the defendant, is egregious.

Respectfully  
Submitted By  
Jamaal R. Griffin